



Name:	<a href="#">UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3476
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE IN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: A NOVEL PERSPECTIVE
Type of the Article	

**General guideline:**

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>)



## 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<b><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</b>  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b>  (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b>  (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient</b>	<b>1.</b> The submitted manuscript explained an important subject for the society, and will amend the bad ideas toward AI.  <b>2. Yes.</b>  <b>3. Yes.</b>  <b>4. NO</b>  <b>5. Moderately (The contents are generally correct, but lack of comprehensive details about the subheadings).</b>  <b>6. YES</b>	Thank you sir  NA  NA  sir we have the appropriate changes please do check it the revised version  sir we have the appropriate changes please do check it the revised version  NA



<p>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is not fully detailed in all subjects, and it looks like it has been written with an AI tool such as (Chatgpt, Gemini, Genni.AI, etc.), please clarify?</p>	<p>NO sir we didn't use any AI in writing the content of the manuscript but we used an AI tool called quill bot for improvising the phrases and sentences to make the good sentences this might be the reason it looks like .</p>
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p>		<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Optional</b> comments</p>		<p>NA</p>

## **PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	<p>Thanks for reviewing our manuscript we have made the appropriate changes you are asking for</p>